

2016-2017 Assessment Cycle EDUC_Sport Management BS

Mission (due 1/20/17)

University Mission

The University of Louisiana at Lafayette offers an exceptional education informed by diverse worldviews grounded in tradition, heritage, and culture. We develop leaders and innovators who advance knowledge, cultivate aesthetic sensibility, and improve the human condition.

University Values

We strive to create a community of leaders and innovators in an environment that fosters a desire to advance and disseminate knowledge. We support the mission of the university by actualizing our core values of equity, integrity, intellectual curiosity, creativity, tradition, transparency, respect, collaboration, pluralism, and sustainability.

University Vision

We strive to be included in the top 25% of our peer institutions by 2020, improving our national and international status and recognition.

College / Department / Program Mission

College Mission

Provide the college mission in the space provided. If none is available, write "None Available in 2016-2017."

The mission of the College of Education at the University of Louisiana at Lafayette is built on the three pillars of the academy: Teaching, Scholarship, and Service. A commitment to high standards in each of these areas enables the college to be responsive to community, regional, and state needs while addressing national and international concerns. Through Teaching, Scholarship, and Service, the college strives to prepare outstanding teachers, educational leaders, and other professionals in related domains, while developing viable public and private partnerships which systematically improve education. This mission, being fundamental and timeless, represents the professional and ethical imperative of the College of Education to be attentive to the needs of contemporary college students and to the challenges of serving a diverse, modern society.

Department / Program Mission

Provide the department / program mission in the space provided. If none is available, write "None Available in 2016-2017".

- Our vision is to be world class in the production of quality Sports Management students.
- Our mission is to graduate highly skilled and job ready professionals with the necessary competencies to manage sports organizations efficiently and effectively.

Assessment Plan (due 1/20/17)

Assessment List (Goals / Objectives, Assessment Measures and Criteria for Success)

Assessment List

Goal/Objective	Assessment 1 is Case Law Presentations with the goal of evaluating the ability of students to undertake case law research using primary and secondary sources of law.
Legends	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units); PO - Program Objective (academic

	units); OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units);								
Standards/Outcomes									
Assessment Measures	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Assessment Measure</th> <th>Criterion</th> <th>Attachments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Direct - Presentation</td> <td>Students, working in groups will do a 20 minute power-point presentation on an assigned lecture topic. The presentation will critique the topic and its essential components , but will also research, identify and scrutinize recent legal cases (from 2006 onward). For instance, 2 students in a group will utilize 3 legal cases and 4 students will use 5 legal cases. At least one case has to be international , i.e. from Australia, Canada, and UK etc. Power Point slides (with 2-4 slides</td> <td>Table_1__Case_Law_Presentation_Feedback_Rubric_July_7_2017.docx</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments	Direct - Presentation	Students, working in groups will do a 20 minute power-point presentation on an assigned lecture topic. The presentation will critique the topic and its essential components , but will also research, identify and scrutinize recent legal cases (from 2006 onward). For instance, 2 students in a group will utilize 3 legal cases and 4 students will use 5 legal cases. At least one case has to be international , i.e. from Australia, Canada, and UK etc. Power Point slides (with 2-4 slides	Table_1__Case_Law_Presentation_Feedback_Rubric_July_7_2017.docx
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments						
Direct - Presentation	Students, working in groups will do a 20 minute power-point presentation on an assigned lecture topic. The presentation will critique the topic and its essential components , but will also research, identify and scrutinize recent legal cases (from 2006 onward). For instance, 2 students in a group will utilize 3 legal cases and 4 students will use 5 legal cases. At least one case has to be international , i.e. from Australia, Canada, and UK etc. Power Point slides (with 2-4 slides	Table_1__Case_Law_Presentation_Feedback_Rubric_July_7_2017.docx							

		<p>on a page), will be handed to the professor and to each interrogator before the presentation . The first slide will include the official names and e-mails of the presenters and the final slide for each presenting group will list at least 2 sports law journals and other sources used in preparing the PowerPoint presentation . Short and relevant YouTube clips and/or interactive websites may be used. Remember – the presentation is aimed at analyzing sports related cases with the use of the case analysis method and the maximization approach. The</p>	
--	--	---	--

		<p>presentations will be graded as guided by Table 1. Target: 100% of the candidates are expected to achieve at least 75% on the Case Law Presentation Assessment.</p>	
--	--	--	--

Goal/Objective	Assessment 2 is Interrogation with the goal of training students to plan, develop and execute reasonable questions that enhance learning, debate and inquiry.								
Legends	OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units); PO - Program Objective (academic units); SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);								
Standards/Outcomes									
Assessment Measures	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="337 1285 505 1390">Assessment Measure</th> <th data-bbox="505 1285 662 1390">Criterion</th> <th data-bbox="662 1285 1549 1390">Attachments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="337 1390 505 1969">Indirect - Student Evaluations</td> <td data-bbox="505 1390 662 1969">Each student will provide two sets of feedback to the presenters – on the topic presentation and journal critique. The aim is to get the presenters to clarify some of</td> <td data-bbox="662 1390 1549 1969">Sports_Management__Table_2_Interrogation_Feedback_Rubric_July_7_2017.docx</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments	Indirect - Student Evaluations	Each student will provide two sets of feedback to the presenters – on the topic presentation and journal critique. The aim is to get the presenters to clarify some of	Sports_Management__Table_2_Interrogation_Feedback_Rubric_July_7_2017.docx
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments						
Indirect - Student Evaluations	Each student will provide two sets of feedback to the presenters – on the topic presentation and journal critique. The aim is to get the presenters to clarify some of	Sports_Management__Table_2_Interrogation_Feedback_Rubric_July_7_2017.docx							

		<p>the vexing issues addressed , or illuminate interesting points made. Each interrogator will hand in a one-page summary note to the professor as shown (Table 2). The interrogation feedback rubric will be used for both the presentation and the journal critique. Target: Candidates must score at least 8 points or above to successfully pass this assessment.</p>	
--	--	---	--

Goal/Objective	Assessment 3 is the JOURNAL CRITIQUE, with the goal of assisting students develop the ability to read, digest and critique per reviewed Sports Law journals.
Legends	OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units); PO - Program Objective (academic units); SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);
Standards/Outcomes	
Assessment Measures	

Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments
Direct - Presentation	The goal of this assignment is to assist you develop the ability to read, digest and critique peer reviewed sports law journals. As indicated in the syllabus, each week has 1 journal listed on its weekly reading list. In groups of 2-3, students will choose one journal and perform a detailed critique as guided by the rubric below (Table 3). All journals can be downloaded from Moodle. Target: Candidates must score at least 15 points or above to successfully pass this assessment.	Table_3_Journal_Critique_Rubric_July_7_2017.docx

Results & Improvements (due 9/15/17)

Results and Improvement Narratives

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Assessment 1 is Case Law Presentations with the goal of evaluating the ability of students to undertake case law research using primary and secondary sources of law.

Goal/Objective	Assessment 1 is Case Law Presentations with the goal of evaluating the ability of students to undertake case law research using primary and secondary sources of law.	
Legends	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units); PO - Program Objective (academic units); OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units);	
Standards/Outcomes		
Assessment Measures	Assessment Measure	Criterion
	Direct - Presentation	Students, working in groups will do a 20 minute power-point presentation on an assigned lecture topic. The presentation will critique the topic and its essential components, but will also research, identify and scrutinize recent legal cases

	<p>(from 2006 onward). For instance, 2 students in a group will utilize 3 legal cases and 4 students will use 5 legal cases. At least one case has to be international, i.e. from Australia, Canada, and UK etc. Power Point slides (with 2-4 slides on a page), will be handed to the professor and to each interrogator before the presentation. The first slide will include the official names and e-mails of the presenters and the final slide for each presenting group will list at least 2 sports law journals and other sources used in preparing the PowerPoint presentation. Short and relevant YouTube clips and/or interactive websites may be used. Remember – the presentation is aimed at analyzing sports related cases with the use of the case analysis method and the maximization approach. The presentations will be graded as guided by Table 1. Target: 100% of the candidates are expected to achieve at least 75% on the Case Law Presentation Assessment.</p>														
Assessment Findings	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="342 814 527 919">Assessment Measure</th> <th data-bbox="527 814 704 919">Criterion</th> <th data-bbox="704 814 873 919">Summary</th> <th data-bbox="873 814 1344 919">Attachments of the Assessments</th> <th data-bbox="1344 814 1549 919">Improvement Narratives</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="342 919 527 1984">Direct - Presentation</td> <td data-bbox="527 919 704 1984">Has the criterion Students, working in groups will do a 20 minute power-point presentation on an assigned lecture topic. The presentation will critique the topic and its essential components, but will also research, identify and scrutinize recent legal cases (from 2006 onward). For instance, 2 students in a group will utilize 3 legal cases and 4</td> <td data-bbox="704 919 873 1984">Of the 26 candidates who participated in the case law presentation assessment, 18 (70%) passed and 8 failed (30%).</td> <td data-bbox="873 919 1344 1984">SM_Presentation_DATA_Spring_2016.xlsx</td> <td data-bbox="1344 919 1549 1984"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives	Direct - Presentation	Has the criterion Students, working in groups will do a 20 minute power-point presentation on an assigned lecture topic. The presentation will critique the topic and its essential components, but will also research, identify and scrutinize recent legal cases (from 2006 onward). For instance, 2 students in a group will utilize 3 legal cases and 4	Of the 26 candidates who participated in the case law presentation assessment, 18 (70%) passed and 8 failed (30%).	SM_Presentation_DATA_Spring_2016.xlsx	
Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives											
Direct - Presentation	Has the criterion Students, working in groups will do a 20 minute power-point presentation on an assigned lecture topic. The presentation will critique the topic and its essential components, but will also research, identify and scrutinize recent legal cases (from 2006 onward). For instance, 2 students in a group will utilize 3 legal cases and 4	Of the 26 candidates who participated in the case law presentation assessment, 18 (70%) passed and 8 failed (30%).	SM_Presentation_DATA_Spring_2016.xlsx												

		<p>students will use 5 legal cases. At least one case has to be international , i.e. from Australia, Canada, and UK etc. Power Point slides (with 2-4 slides on a page), will be handed to the professor and to each interrogator before the presentation . The first slide will include the official names and e-mails of the presenters and the final slide for each presenting group will list at least 2 sports law journals and other sources used in preparing the PowerPoint presentation . Short and relevant YouTube clips and/or interactive websites may be used. Remember – the</p>			
--	--	---	--	--	--

		<p>presentation is aimed at analyzing sports related cases with the use of the case analysis method and the maximization approach. The presentations will be graded as guided by Table 1.</p> <p>Target: 100% of the candidates are expected to achieve at least 75% on the Case Law Presentation Assessment.</p> <p>. been met yet? Not met</p>			
--	--	--	--	--	--

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Assessment 2 is Interrogation with the goal of training students to plan, develop and execute reasonable questions that enhance learning, debate and inquiry.

Goal/Objective	Assessment 2 is Interrogation with the goal of training students to plan, develop and execute reasonable questions that enhance learning, debate and inquiry.			
Legends	OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units); PO - Program Objective (academic units); SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);			
Standards/Outcomes				
Assessment Measures	<table border="1"> <tr> <th data-bbox="357 1801 592 1875">Assessment Measure</th> <th data-bbox="592 1801 1550 1875">Criterion</th> </tr> </table>	Assessment Measure	Criterion	
	Assessment Measure	Criterion		
Indirect - Student Evaluations	Each student will provide two sets of feedback to the presenters – on the topic presentation and journal critique. The aim is to get the presenters to clarify some of the vexing issues addressed, or illuminate interesting points			

	<p>made. Each interrogator will hand in a one-page summary note to the professor as shown (Table 2). The interrogation feedback rubric will be used for both the presentation and the journal critique. Target: Candidates must score at least 8 points or above to successful pass this assessment.</p>														
<p>Assessment Findings</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="360 541 566 646">Assessment Measure</th> <th data-bbox="566 541 911 646">Criterion</th> <th data-bbox="911 541 1117 646">Summary</th> <th data-bbox="1117 541 1323 646">Attachments of the Assessments</th> <th data-bbox="1323 541 1547 646">Improvement Narratives</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="360 646 566 1417"> <p>Indirect - Student Evaluations</p> </td> <td data-bbox="566 646 911 1417"> <p>Has the criterion Each student will provide two sets of feedback to the presenters – on the topic presentation and journal critique. The aim is to get the presenters to clarify some of the vexing issues addressed, or illuminate interesting points made. Each interrogator will hand in a one-page summary note to the professor as shown (Table 2). The interrogation feedback rubric will be used for both the presentation and the journal critique. Target: Candidates must score at least 8 points or above to successful pass this assessment. been met yet? Not met</p> </td> <td data-bbox="911 646 1117 1417"> <p>26 students did the assessment of which 16 passed (62%) and 10 did not pass (38%)</p> </td> <td data-bbox="1117 646 1323 1417"></td> <td data-bbox="1323 646 1547 1417"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives	<p>Indirect - Student Evaluations</p>	<p>Has the criterion Each student will provide two sets of feedback to the presenters – on the topic presentation and journal critique. The aim is to get the presenters to clarify some of the vexing issues addressed, or illuminate interesting points made. Each interrogator will hand in a one-page summary note to the professor as shown (Table 2). The interrogation feedback rubric will be used for both the presentation and the journal critique. Target: Candidates must score at least 8 points or above to successful pass this assessment. been met yet? Not met</p>	<p>26 students did the assessment of which 16 passed (62%) and 10 did not pass (38%)</p>		
Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives											
<p>Indirect - Student Evaluations</p>	<p>Has the criterion Each student will provide two sets of feedback to the presenters – on the topic presentation and journal critique. The aim is to get the presenters to clarify some of the vexing issues addressed, or illuminate interesting points made. Each interrogator will hand in a one-page summary note to the professor as shown (Table 2). The interrogation feedback rubric will be used for both the presentation and the journal critique. Target: Candidates must score at least 8 points or above to successful pass this assessment. been met yet? Not met</p>	<p>26 students did the assessment of which 16 passed (62%) and 10 did not pass (38%)</p>													

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Assessment 3 is the JOURNAL CRITIQUE, with the goal of assisting students develop the ability to read, digest and critique per reviewed Sports Law journals.

<p>Goal/Objective</p>	<p>Assessment 3 is the JOURNAL CRITIQUE, with the goal of assisting students develop the ability to read, digest and critique per reviewed Sports Law journals.</p>					
<p>Legends</p>	<p>OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units); PO - Program Objective (academic units); SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);</p>					
<p>Standards/Outcomes</p>						
<p>Assessment Measures</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="344 1906 566 1976">Assessment Measure</th> <th data-bbox="566 1906 1547 1976">Criterion</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Assessment Measure	Criterion		
Assessment Measure	Criterion					

	<p>Direct - Presentation</p>	<p>The goal of this assignment is to assist you develop the ability to read, digest and critique peer reviewed sports law journals. As indicated in the syllabus, each week has 1 journal listed on its weekly reading list. In groups of 2-3, students will choose one journal and perform a detailed critique as guided by the rubric below (Table 3). All journals can be downloaded from Moodle. Target: Candidates must score at least 15 points or above to successfully pass this assessment.</p>
--	------------------------------	--

<p>Assessment Findings</p>				
	<p>Assessment Measure</p>	<p>Criterion</p>	<p>Summary</p>	<p>Attachments of the Assessments</p>
<p>Direct - Presentation</p>	<p>Has the criterion The goal of this assignment is to assist you develop the ability to read, digest and critique peer reviewed sports law journals. As indicated in the syllabus, each week has 1 journal listed on its weekly reading list. In groups of 2-3, students will choose one journal and perform a detailed critique as guided by the rubric below (Table 3). All journals can be downloaded from</p>	<p>100% of the students met the assessment requirements.</p>	<p>SM_Critique_DATA_Spring_2016.xlsx</p>	

		Moodle. Target: Candidates must score at least 15 points or above to successfull y pass this assessment t. been met yet? Met			
--	--	--	--	--	--

Reflection (Due 9/15/17)

Reflection

1) How were assessment results shared in the unit?

Please select all that apply. If "other", please use the text box to elaborate.

Distributed via email (selected)

Presented formally at staff / department / committee meetings (selected)

Discussed informally (selected)

Other (explain in text box below) (selected)

Distributed 24 hours after the due date through Moodle. Plans are being implemented for further discussions regarding data with other faculty members.

2) How frequently were assessment results shared in the unit?

Frequently (>4 times per cycle)

Periodically (2-4 times per cycle) (selected)

Once per cycle

Results were not shared this cycle

3) With whom were assessment results shared?

Please select all that apply.

Department Head

Dean / Asst. or Assoc. Dean

Departmental assessment committee (selected)

Other faculty / staff (selected)

4) What were the measurable or perceivable effects on your current (2016-2017) findings based on prior action plans (created in 2015-2016)?

The use of clear rubrics has become a goal of the faculty in order to enhance student accountability.

5) What has the unit learned from the current assessment cycle?

It important to have SMART goals, as a program and in in-class teaching

Attachments

Attachments

Upload any supporting documents related to your assessment plans, results, or improvements. Documents may include rubrics, survey questions, reports, etc. There is no limit to the number of documents you can upload.

Click "Select File" to upload document(s)

Table_1__Case_Law_Presentation_Feedback_Rubric_July_7_2017.docx

Table_2_Interrogation_Feedback_Rubric_July_7_2017.docx

Table_3_Journal_Critique_Rubric_July_7_2017.docx